

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/699,367	10/31/2003	Cynthia J. Zeiders	1706/38367A/1A-CIP 3042		
279 7	7590 05/19/2005		EXAMINER		
•	BUSHNELL, GIANG	CHEN, JOSE V			
	IE & MARR, LTD. DAMS STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 3600			3637		
CHICAGO, IL 60603			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•*		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	4
		10/699,	367	ZEIDERS, CYNTHIA J.	
	Office Action Summar	Examine	er .	Art Unit	·
	;	José V.		3637	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this com or Reply	munication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM nsions of time may be available under the proving SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this period for reply specified above is less than the period for reply is specified above, the maximum tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three moded patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	IUNICATION. isions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ecommunication. irty (30) days, a reply within the stum statutory period will apply and reply will, by statute, cause the apoinths after the mailing date of this control.	event, however, may a reply be tire atutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from aplication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication (C) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	.
Status	•				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 October 20</u>	<u>03</u> .		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in cond	tion for allowance excep	t for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the p	ractice under <i>Ex parte</i> G	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	ion of Claims	•			
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in	the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from c	onsideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to re	estriction and/or election	requirement.		
Applicati	ion Papers				. -
9)	The specification is objected to b	y the Examiner.			
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is	/are: a)□ accepted or t) objected to by the	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any	objection to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) incl	-			d)
11)	The oath or declaration is object	ed to by the Examiner. N	lote the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a cl	aim for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None	of:			
	1. Certified copies of the pri	ority documents have be	en received.		
	2. Certified copies of the pri	ority documents have be	en received in Applicat	tion No	
	3. Copies of the certified cop	pies of the priority docun	nents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage	
	application from the Inter	•			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office	action for a list of the cer	tified copies not receive	ed.	
	:				
Attachmen	t(s)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	y (PTO-413)	
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi		Paper No(s)/Mail D		
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>01/08/04</u> .	49 or PTO/SB/08)	6) Other:	ratent Application (FTO-152)	
	· ·			<u> </u>	

MC

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rossborough. The patent to Rossborough teaches structure as claimed including horizontal member (66), support member (16), flexible strap (42, 36), clamps (fig. 2), means for adjusting the length of the support, the support member threadedly attached to the horizontal member.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 3, 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rossborough. The patent to Rossborough teaches structure substantially as claimed, as discussed above, including clamp and dimension of structure. The use of a plurality of clamps and the particular dimension of the structure are matters of desirability which would have been obvious and within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Claims 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rossborough in view of Uono. The patent to Rossborough teaches structure substantially as claimed, as discussed above including support member, the only difference being that the support member is not of two members threadedly mated. However, the patent to Uono (1, 3) teaches the use of such structure to be old. It would have been obvious and well within the level of one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the structure of Rossborough to include the support member of screw threadedly mated, as taught by Uono since such structures are conventional alternative structures used in the same intended purpose, thereby providing structure as claimed.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rossborough as applied to the claims above, and further in view of Credle, Sr. The patent to Rossborough teaches structure substantially as claimed including horizontal member (66), support member (16), flexible strap (42, 36), clamps (fig. 2), means for adjusting the length of the support, the support member threadedly attached to the horizontal member, the only difference being that the horizontal member does not include receptacle structure. However, the patent to Credle, Sr. teaches an aperture and flexible members. It would have been obvious and well within the level of ordinary

skill in the art to modify the structure of Rossborough to include an aperture and flexible member, as taught by Credle, Sr. since such structures are conventional alternative structures used in the same intended purpose, thereby providing structure as claimed.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Credle, Sr. in view of Rossborough. The patent to Credle, Sr. teaches structure substantially as claimed including a horizontal member (12), support member (17), aperture and flexible members, the only difference being the particular structure of the support member. However, the patent to Rossborough teaches particular support member structure. It would have been obvious and well within the level of ordinary skill in the art to modify the structure of Credle, Sr. to include a support member, as taught by Rossborough since such structures are conventional alternative structures used in the same intended purpose, thereby providing structure as claimed.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rossborough and Credle, Sr., each in view of each other as applied to the claims above, and further in view of Rae. The patent to Rossborough and Credle, Sr each in view of each other teach structure substantially as claimed as discussed above including a horizontal member, the only difference being that the horizontal member does not include an aperture with a lid. However, the patent to Rae (at 40, 42) teaches the use of providing an aperture and lid structure at the horizontal member. It would have been obvious and well within the level of ordinary skill in the art to modify the structure of Rossborough to include an aperture and lid structure at the horizontal surface or to modify the structure of Credle, Sr. to include a lid member, as taught by

Rae since such structures are conventional alternative structures used in the same intended purpose of providing a support, thereby providing structure as claimed.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rossborough in view of Beltman. The patent to Rossborough teaches structure substantially as claimed, as discussed above including a horizontal member the only difference being that the horizontal member does not include a removable storage structure. However, the patent to Beltman teaches the use of a removable storage container (10). It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to modify the structure of Rossborough to include a removable container, as taught by Beltman since such structures are conventional alternative structures, thereby providing structure as claimed.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Credle, Sr. The patent to Credle, Sr teaches structure substantially as claimed as discussed above including a horizontal member with storage containers, the only difference being that a container is no t removable. However, the patent to Beltman teaches the use of a removable storage container (10). It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to modify the structure of Credle, Sr. to include a removable container, as taught by Beltman since such structures are conventional alternative structures, thereby providing structure as claimed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Pforr, Zeiders, Sienkiewicz et al, Block et al, Rhoades, Boerma, Anderson, Shamoon, Buske teach structure similar to applicant's.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José V. Chen whose telephone number is (571)272-6865. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f,m-th 5:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571)272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rimary Examiner

1t Unit 3637

Chen/jvc 05-16-05